| Report to: | Adult Social Care and Community Safety Scrutiny Committee |
|--------------------|---|
| Date: | 7 November 2013 |
| By: | Chief Executive |
| Title of report: | Reconciling Policy, Performance and Resources (RPPR) |
| Purpose of report: | To enable the Committee to continue its engagement in the Council's business and financial planning process (Reconciling Policy, Performance and Resources) for 2014/15 and beyond. |

RECOMMENDATIONS: The Scrutiny Committee is recommended to:

(1) consider the responses to any outstanding points from the September scrutiny committee deliberations on RPPR;

(2) note the membership of the RPPR Scrutiny Board to meet on 20 December 2013 (all Members of the Committee); and

(') identify any further work or information needed to aid scrutiny's contribution to the RPPR process for consideration at the RPPR Board.

1. Financial implications

1.1 The State of the County 2013 report was agreed by Cabinet on 23 July 2013. That report initiated the Council's business and financial planning process known as *Reconciling Policy*, *Performance and Resources* (RPPR) for 2014/15 and beyond. The Council has also agreed that **four cross-cutting priority outcomes** for the Council as a whole should be reflected in future business and financial plans. These are:

- Driving economic growth;
- Keeping vulnerable people safe from harm;
- Building resilience for individuals and families to live independently; and
- Making the best use of our resources.

2. Scrutiny engagement in RPPR

2.1 When developing portfolio plans for next year, Cabinet Members are focusing on how services we and our partners provide contribute to the four priority outcomes. With diminishing resources available in future, the Council needs to develop ever more innovation in achieving efficiencies and 'providing more for less'. The kinds of strategies that are becoming increasingly apparent include: ensuring fair and effective demand management for the services we wish to provide; and focusing on earlier intervention, where appropriate, to prevent more costly intervention 'further down the line'.

2.2 Scrutiny's engagement in the RPPR process is vitally important. The **September 2013 scrutiny committees** examined the current portfolio and savings plans to become familiar with the scope and functions of the Cabinet portfolios within their remit. The committees assessed how services were performing against previously agreed targets and budgets, and questioned Lead Members and senior officers about the impacts of previous spending decisions. The committee identified a number of questions for further scrutiny. Information relating to these points is contained in appendices 1 and 2.

2.3 Appendix 1 contains an update by the Director of Adult Social Care on progress towards delivering the RPPR savings, including information about the impact of delivering the savings to date. Appendix 2 contains the Adult Social Care three-year savings plans from 2013/14.

2.4 All Members of the Committee will comprise its RPPR scrutiny review board which will consider the developing portfolio plans and savings proposals in more detail as they emerge in December/January. The meeting of the RPPR board is scheduled for 20 December 2013.

3. Next steps

3.1 Each scrutiny committee will provide commentary and recommendations to be taken into account by Cabinet and Council before a final decision is taken on next year's budget and Council Plan early in 2014. In recent years, the messages sent by scrutiny to Cabinet have predominantly highlighted the *impact* of proposed spending plans on services provided by the County Council and its partners. Increasingly, however, scrutiny has also:

- proposed mitigating action to offset perceived negative impacts of spending reductions in some cases
- recommended shifts in the balance of priorities between different activities, giving prominence to priorities that have emerged from the evidence scrutiny has uncovered;
- made judgements about value for money for areas of above-average costs;
- sought to identify additional efficiencies; and
- challenged performance targets to try to ensure better return on investment through increased performance.

3.2 The **RPPR scrutiny review boards** will meet in December 2013/January 2014 to agree the detailed comments and any recommendations on the emerging portfolio plans and savings proposals to put to Cabinet on behalf of their parent scrutiny committees. The Chairs of all the scrutiny committees are invited to attend all the scrutiny review boards.

3.3 The **March 2014 scrutiny committees** will review the process and their input into the RPPR process, and make recommendations for improvements.

BECKY SHAW Chief Executive

Contact Officer: Paul Dean, Scrutiny Manager (01273 481751) Local Member: All

Background Documents None

APPENDIX 1 Update on progress towards delivering the RPPR savings, including information about the impact of delivering the savings to date.

Adult Social Care and Community Safety Scrutiny Committee 7 November 2013

1. Financial Appraisal

1.1 The 2013/14 base budget incorporates agreed savings targets of £8.6m with £3.3m mitigation against this. Between April and September 2013, £2.9m of savings have been delivered, leaving £2.4m to be delivered by the end of this financial year. Adult Social Care will continue to deliver its savings programme in accordance with the RPPR savings plan agreed by Cabinet in November 2012, as shown in Appendix A.

1.2 The Independent Sector savings for this year have been removed from the community care budget. However, delivery is dependent upon several thousand individual social care assessments and reviews being undertaken in order to reduce the costs of individuals' packages of care. The financial position means that there will be an average of 30% less money to spend on support and care, whilst still meeting substantial and critical care needs, in accordance with the published eligibility criteria.

2. Activity and impact

2.1 Client reviews seek to reassess needs against existing support plans and therefore care packages may reduce as a consequence of decreased need as well as the 30% indicative Personal Budget reduction. Some individuals may even have their support plans increased as a result of a change in needs, irrespective of the indicative 30% reduction in Personal Budgets. 6.8% of clients reviewed have had their support plans reduced by 30% or more, which is 407 people.

2.2 Reablement is a key aspect of the Adult Social Care RPPR savings programme. Reablement is time-limited support, aimed at helping people regain practical skills and confidence. This support can reduce or even eliminate the need for ongoing care and support services. Between April and August 2013 a total of 84% clients (out of 2,576 discharges) required no ongoing support post discharge.

2.3 87% of older people discharged from hospital to reablement / rehabilitation services were at home 91 days after discharge. Investigation of the older people who were not at home 91 days after discharge shows that 73% had deceased during the 91 day period.

2.4 The Adult Social Care Financial Controls policy for residential / nursing placements, personal budges and community care packages states that Adult Social Care will normally expect clients eligible needs to be met in the most cost effective manner. This means that Adult Social Care will only normally fund community support to the equivalent value of an appropriate residential or nursing home placement.

2.5 Between April and September 2013 a total of 388 clients have been admitted to permanent residential / nursing care, this compares to 416 in the same period in 2012/13. Analysis undertaken between April and June shows 16 clients moved from a community based package of care into residential care. The reasons for these changes include risk assessments; clients wishing to remain in residential care following short term placements and main carers no longer being able to provide care. There is no evidence to show that the clients had moved into residential care due to application of the policy.

2.6 The department continues to deliver savings from residential placements for people with learning disabilities and mental health problems. This programme of work includes people leaving long term residential placements to move into alternative supporting living accommodation, offering a higher level of independence. To date £691,000 has been delivered against a savings target of

£727,000.

3. Information, guidance and engagement

3.1 Providing staff and clients with relevant and timely information is an important aspect of delivering the revised Adult Social Care Offer and directly affects the client experience and therefore impact of the changes. An intranet page dedicated to information and guidance for staff delivering the revised Adult Social Care Offer has been developed. This information includes a short leaflet 'Adult Social Care: What's changing' which provide information about the funding situation and how it affects people in general terms. This is supported by frequently asked questions which practitioners can share and discuss with clients and carers.

3.2 The Personalisation Advisory Group were involved in shaping the What's changing leaflet; informed the savings plan Equality Impact Assessment work undertaken to date and provide regular feedback about the client and carer experience. The regular client and carer satisfaction surveys are not showing any noticeable change in user experience, with most areas seeing a small increase in satisfaction. It is anticipated that as more people are reviewed, this will start to impact on survey feedback results.

3.3 A Self Directed Support appeals process has been introduced for occasions where clients and carers are not able to agree the proposed changes to their support. The Appeals process gives practitioners and clients / carers a timeframe to try to resolve different views in an informal way but also escalate to line managers as required. At the time of writing, there are 18 cases which have gone through or are going through appeal. Feedback to date has been positive from the Personalisation Advisory Group regarding the accessibility of the process. Several practitioners have reported finding the process helpful, especially the timescales which encourage speedy resolutions.

3.4 The number of services registered on East Sussex 1Space continues to increase and is currently in excess of 1,100. Visitors to the site average 1,500 / 2000 a month. Direct access to services via East Sussex 1Space provides clients and carers with an alternative route into services and choice about how their needs can be met.

3.5 During June 2013, 98 organisations attended a series of voluntary and community sector workshops organised by Adult Social Care ad Public Health and the three Councils for Voluntary Service (CVS) organisations in East Sussex. The workshops focussed on ways of working to support communities to be strong and resilient, with a focus on responding to people with care and support needs due to ageing and disability. The workshop outcomes will be used to inform potential future commissioning exercises and developments to support communities to be better networked around the growing numbers of people with care and support needs.

4. Conclusion and Reason for Recommendation

4.1 Adult Social Care and Community Safety Scrutiny Committee are recommended to consider and comment on progress to date against delivery of the 2013/14 to 201/16 RPPR savings plan.

KEITH HINKLEY

Director of Adult Social Care Appendix 1: Adult Social Care RPPR savings plan

| | Older People | | | Savings | | Savings £'000 per year | | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|---------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Older Feople | | | | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 3 year total | | |
| Category | Service description | Description of savings proposal | Impact assessment | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | | |
| Dept | Directly Provided Residential Services | Review Older People's Residential Services | The recommissioning of services by the independent sector ensuring continuity of support. | | | 200 | | 200 | | |
| Dept | Directly Provided Day Services | Review of DPS OP Day Services | The potential decommissioning of DPS day services for older people; with assessed needs being met from the Independent Sector. Currently supporting 468 clients. | | 100 | 962 | | 1,062 | | |
| Dept | Directly Provided Services | Reduction in DPS management and support costs | Impact of changes to DPS service provision will enable management structure changes. | | | | 100 | 100 | | |
| Dept | Handyperson Grant | End the Handyperson Grant Scheme | Stopping the allocation of the universal grant to people 65 years or over. Maximum grant is £200 per person. In the period 2 April to 17 August 2012, 707 applications were received. | | 192 | | | 192 | | |
| Dept | Telecare Services | Promotion of Telecare/Telehealth Services | The promotion of telecare/telehealth will reduce the overall value of care packages through alternative means of meeting needs. | | | 300 | | 300 | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Restrict final package of care Personal Budget to the maximum of the equivalent Residential Rate. | Within the revised ASC offer to focus on the provision of personal care, an average of 120 new clients per year will usually have their Personal Budgets restricted to the maximum of the equivalent rate we pay for residential care. This will lead to individuals receiving smaller packages of care. | 96,511 | | 570 | | 570 | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Review of high cost community services to the maximum of the equivalent Residential Rate. | Within the revised ASC offer to focus on the provision of personal care, existing clients will be reviewed and usually have their Personal Budgets restricted to the maximum of the equivalent rate we pay for residential care. This will lead to individuals receiving smaller packages of care. | | | 1,500 | | 1,500 | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Review and focus on services to meet personal care needs, in line with personal budgets | Focus on personal care needs, with less emphasis on activities of daily living (ADLs), as part of the redefined ASC offer. This will mean that a number of individuals will have their support packages reduced as the range of ADLs is reduced. Clients will be advised as to how to access ADLs by other pathways but this will not provide the same level of support. | | 714 | 3,240 | 1,195 | 5,149 | | |
| Dept | Fees and Charges | Review of Fees and Charges | Fairer charging policy to be reviewed in line with revised housing benefit regulations. | | 100 | | | 100 | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Reablement Services to reduce volume and cost of long term packages of care | Development of the reablement pathway will require 3,000 clients to be put through reablement as the first service offer. This will reduce the demand for ongoing support. | | | 2,000 | 3,000 | 5,000 | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Community Based Services Tender | Consolidation of a range of contracts for Community Based services, including home care, will improve efficiency of service, services offered and value for money. | | | 300 | | 300 | | |
| Dept | Supported Accommodation and Extra Care | Development of extra care services | Savings arising from extra care housing developments, resulting from reduced demand for community care. | | | 193 | | 193 | | |
| Dept | Reserves | Use of department underspend brought forward | Use of 2011/12 underspend to mitigate savings targets in 2013/14 | | 1,901 | (1,901) | | 0 | | |
| | | Older People Sa | avings Total | 96,511 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| | Working Age Adults | | | | : | Savings £'000 per year | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|--------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 3 year total | | | |
| Category | Service description | Description of savings proposal | Impact assessment | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | | | |
| Dept | Learning Disabilities Directly Provided Services | Review of Directly Provided Learning Disability Services | The potential reprovisioning and consolidation of current services to meet assessed need within reduced resources. Currently supporting 344 clients. Some people may need to travel further to services than at present. | | | 250 | 300 | 550 | | | |
| Dept | Learning Disabilities Directly Provided Services | Review of Directly Provided Learning Disability Services | Reprovision of residential services to Gilda Crescent Supported Accommodation. Benefit for clients in that they will have tenancy agreements. | | | 250 | | 250 | | | |
| Dept | Learning Disabilities Directly Provided Services | Review of Directly Provided Learning Disability Services | LD DPS Residential Services - improving operational efficiencies within existing services. | | | 150 | | 150 | | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Review and renegotiation of high cost service contracts | Renegotiation of contracts. Service specifications will focus on the provision of personal care rather than activities of daily living. This will reduce the range of activities available for some individuals. | | 600 | 700 | 300 | 1,600 | | | |
| Dept | Mental Health | Savings from Mental Health Supported Accommodation developments | Positive impact on clients as they move through the Mental Health Residential Accommodation pathway towards Supported Accommodation provision. Project objective is to increase personal independence. | 81,496 | 127 | 100 | 100 | 327 | | | |
| Dept | Telecare Services | Promotion of Telecare/Telehealth Services | Reduction in the Community Care budget - promotion of telecare/teleheath will reduce the value of care packages with alternative ways to meet needs. | | | 200 | | 200 | | | |
| Dept | Section 117 - Mental Health | Development of a strategic approach to clients claiming Section 117 status. | Client needs continue to be met. | | | 500 | | 500 | | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Review of high cost community services to the maximum of the equivalent Residential Rate. | Within the revised ASC offer to focus on the provision of personal care, existing clients will be reviewed and usually have their Personal Budgets restricted to the maximum of the equivalent rate we pay for residential care. This will lead to individuals receiving smaller packages of care. | | | 350 | | 350 | | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Review and focus on services to meet personal care needs, in line with personal budgets. | Focus on personal care needs, with less emphasis on activities of daily living (ADLs), as part of the redefined ASC offer. This will mean that a number of individuals will have their support packages reduced as the range of ADLs is reduced. Clients will be advised as to how to access ADLs by other pathways but this will not provide the same level of support. | | 2,000 | 2,605 | 1,200 | 5,805 | | | |
| Dept | Community Based Services | Community Based Services Tender | Consolidation of a range of contracts for Community Based services, including home care, will improve efficiency of service, services offered and value for money. | | | 200 | | 200 | | | |
| Dept | Supported Accommodation and Extra Care | Development of supported accommodation schemes | Savings arising from supported accommodation developments as this will reduce the demand for community care. | | | 407 | | 407 | | | |
| Dept | Reserves | Use of department underspend brought forward | Use of 2011/12 underspend to mitigate savings targets in 2013/14 | | 1,400 | (1,400) | | 0 | | | |
| | | Working Age Adult | s Savings Total | 81,496 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | | | Savings | | Savings £'000 per year | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|---------|---------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| | | Universal Services | | Base | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 3 year total |
| Category | Service description | Description of savings proposal | Impact assessment | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| Dept | Staff Training | Reduction in training for frontline staff. | No significant impacts on equality or risks. Reduction in staff will support this but will need to prioritise some training. | | 200 | 100 | | 300 |
| Dept | Strategy and Commissioning | As a consequence of savings proposals a reduction in number of staff can be delivered in 2015/16. | If savings proposals are delivered, commissioning teams to be restructured. | | | | 100 | 100 |
| Dept | Commissioning Prospectus | Commissioning Prospectus - reduce the resources available for retendering of services. | Reduction in the level of funding that will be available for the retendering of services. This will reduce the overall level of preventative support. | | | 168 | 332 | 500 |
| Dept | Physical Disabilities - Housing | Stop funding Special Needs Housing Officers. | Posts made redundant and activities absorbed by respective authorities. | | 45 | | | 45 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Community wide floating housing support for vulnerable older people - reduction by 15% by 2015/16. | The proposed reduction would reduce available service hours . | | | 150 | 50 | 200 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Accommodation based services for older people with on site support - fix the maximum weekly unit cost from £12 to £10. | Will be achieved by greater service efficiency. | | | 211 | | 211 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Accommodation based services for older people with care and support needs - reduce contracted commitments. | Current take up is at 75% and, therefore, no impact of reduction anticipated. | | | 20 | | 20 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Countywide floating support - reduction in contracts by 15% by 2015/16. | The proposed reduction would reduce available service hours. | | | 520 | 156 | 676 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Young Parent Services - reduction from 3 to 2 accommodation based services. | Reduction will mean the loss of night cover in services and the ability to provide on-site support for individuals in crisis. | 47.561 | | 10 | 26 | 36 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Young People at Risk - Remove an intensive service with the lowest utilisation. | Impact on young people presenting as homeless or leaving care. | 17,001 | | 143 | | 143 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Learning Disabilities - accommodation services reduction in funding. | No impact as reductions will be absorbed by current services. | | | 53 | | 53 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Supported Accommodation and Independent Living Service (SAILS) - implement a 15% reduction . | A number of individuals will have their range of supported activities reduced. | | | 87 | 87 | 174 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Offenders and complex homeless - reduce pilot service by 15% in 2015/16. | Reduction will impact on the staff time available to support complex offenders and homeless people. Pilot will be reviewed in 2014/15. | | | | 11 | 11 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Domestic Violence Refuges - reduce from 5 to 4 refuges. | Services will be recommissioned to reflect need and provide support consistent with our local authority comparators. | | | 65 | | 65 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Mental Health and Homeless Services- closure of 1 accommodation based service for 12 clients at a time. | Services will be provided by alternative routes but with a likely impact on individuals of a reduction in support. | | | 101 | 10 | 111 |
| Dept | Supporting People | Use of underspend in the Supporting People Medium Term Financial Plan brought forward from | Use of underspend within Medium Term Financial Plan to mitigate savings targets in 2013/14. | | 352 | (352) | | 0 |
| Dept | Carers' Services | NHS Funding for Carers. | Pooling of Resources under Section 256 Agreement to gain maximum efficiency and support to carers | | 500 | 750 | | 1,250 |
| Dept | Strategy and Commissioning | Staffing changes arising from the completion of projects and fixed term contracts. | No impact as projects completed and services mainstreamed. | | | 130 | | 130 |
| Dept | Community Services | Community Bridge Builder Project completed. | No impact as service is embedded within Neighbourhood Support Teams. | | | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| | | Universal Services | Savings Total | 47,561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Community Safety Savings Base Savings 2013/14 Category Service description Description of savings proposal Impact assessment £'000 Impact assessment 2013/14 2013/14 2013/14 Community Safety Savings Total Impact assessment 2013/14 | Savings £'000 per year | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| | Community Safety | | Base | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 3 year total | |
| Category | | Description of savings proposal | Impact assessment | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| | | | | 704 | | | | 0 |
| | | Community Safety | Savings Total | 704 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Management and Support (m | | | | | Savings £'000 | per year | |
|----------|---|--|---|-------|---------|---------------|----------|-----------------|
| | | | | | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 3 year total |
| Category | Service description | Description of savings proposal | Impact assessment | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 | £'000 |
| Dept | Community Engagement and Consultation | Review of posts and budget that support community engagement and consultation. | Rationalisation of engagement activity. | | 31 | 19 | 9 | 59 |
| Dept | Staff Training | Reduction in training for support staff. | No significant impacts on equality or risks. Reduction in staff will support this but will need to prioritise some training. | | | 15 | | 15 |
| Dept | Planning Performance & Engagement | Reduction in administration support. | No significant impacts on equality or risks. Potential to delay response times and need to prioritise key work only. | | 4 | 11 | 18 | 33 |
| Dept | Organisational Development | Reduction in management and support from OD and information to staff. | No significant impacts on equality or risks. Potential to delay response times and need to prioritise key work only. | | | | 50 | 50 |
| Corp | Finance and Business Information | Remove post of manager and move functions to other managers in ASC. | No significant impacts on equality. Risks mitigated by phased handover and knowledge transfer. | 4,952 | 82 | | | 82 |
| Corp | Resources Consolidation | Implementation of service consolidation. | Project outcomes achieved. | | 133 | 452 | 173 | 758 |
| Agile | Agile Working Programme | Benefit realisation from the Agile Working Programme | Changes to working practices, processes and procedures as per the Agile Working Programme Business Case. | | | 1,017 | 1,017 | 2,034 |
| Corp | Communication Review | Impact of Communication Review. | See Communications Review business case; which will increase staff efficiency. | | 24 | 19 | 7 | 50 |
| Corp | Review of Facilities Management | Impact of the review of facilities management . | Costs have reduced through the reletting of the facilities management contract. | | 72 | | | 72 |
| | | Management and Sup | oport Savings Total | 4,952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Adult Social Care Total Savings | 0 | 0 | 0 | c |
|---------------------------------|---|---|-----|-----|
| | U | 0 | U U | , v |